

# A GENERALIZATION OF WATTS'S THEOREM: RIGHT EXACT FUNCTORS ON MODULE CATEGORIES

A. NYMAN AND S. P. SMITH

ABSTRACT. Watts's Theorem says that a right exact functor  $F : \text{Mod}R \rightarrow \text{Mod}S$  that commutes with direct sums is isomorphic to  $-\otimes_R B$  where  $B$  is the  $R$ - $S$ -bimodule  $FR$ . The main result in this paper is the following: if  $\mathbf{A}$  is a cocomplete category and  $F : \text{Mod}R \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  is a right exact functor commuting with direct sums, then  $F$  is isomorphic to  $-\otimes_R \mathcal{F}$  where  $\mathcal{F}$  is a suitable  $R$ -module in  $\mathbf{A}$ , i.e., a pair  $(\mathcal{F}, \rho)$  consisting of an object  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{A}$  and a ring homomorphism  $\rho : R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$ . Part of the point is to give meaning to the notation  $-\otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ . That is done in the paper by Artin and Zhang [1] on Abstract Hilbert Schemes. The present paper is a natural extension of some of the ideas in the first part of their paper.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $R$  and  $S$  be rings and let  $\text{Mod}R$  and  $\text{Mod}S$  denote the category of right  $R$ -modules and right  $S$ -modules, respectively. Watts's Theorem, which was proved by Eilenberg [3] and Watts [7] at about the same time, is the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose  $F : \text{Mod}R \rightarrow \text{Mod}S$  is a right exact functor commuting with direct limits. Then  $F \cong -\otimes_R B$  where  $B$  is an  $R$ - $S$ -bimodule.*

Let  $\mathbf{B}(\text{Mod}R, \text{Mod}S)$  denote the full subcategory of the category of functors from  $\text{Mod}R$  to  $\text{Mod}S$  consisting of right exact functors commuting with direct limits. The next result is a slightly more precise version of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.2.** *The functor  $\Psi : \text{Mod}(R^{\text{op}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} S) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\text{Mod}R, \text{Mod}S)$  induced by the assignment  $B \mapsto -\otimes_R B$  is an equivalence of categories.*

Theorem 1.1 is then just the fact that the functor  $\Psi$  is essentially surjective.

The main result of this paper (Theorem 3.1) is that if  $\text{Mod}S$  is replaced by an arbitrary cocomplete<sup>1</sup> category  $\mathbf{A}$ , then a version of Theorem 1.2 still holds. One of the obvious hurdles in proving such a theorem is to have a sensible notion of tensor product in this context. We use the tensor product functor that was defined in [6, Thm. 3.7.1] and investigated in detail in [1] (see Section 2.4).

In Proposition 4.2, we specialize our main result to the case that  $\mathbf{A}$  is the category of quasi-coherent sheaves on a scheme  $Y$ . This version of the main result is used

---

*Date:* May 12, 2015.

*Key words and phrases.* Watts theorem.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 18F99; Secondary 14A22, 16D90, 18A25.

The first author was partially supported by the National Security Agency under grant NSA H98230-05-1-0021. The second author was supported by NSF grant DMS-0070560.

<sup>1</sup>An additive category is **cocomplete** if it has arbitrary direct sums. This is Grothendieck's condition Ab3.

extensively in [5] to prove a structure theorem for right exact functors between categories of quasi-coherent sheaves on schemes.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper,  $k$  is a fixed commutative ring,  $R$  is a  $k$ -algebra, and  $\gamma : k \rightarrow R$  is the homomorphism giving  $R$  its  $k$ -algebra structure.

**2.1.  $k$ -linearity.** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an additive category. We say  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $k$ -linear if for all objects  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(X, Y)$  is a  $k$ -module and composition of morphisms is  $k$ -bilinear. Equivalently,  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $k$ -linear if there is a ring homomorphism

$$c : k \rightarrow \text{End}(\text{id}_{\mathbf{A}})$$

from  $k$  to the ring of natural transformations from the identity functor to itself.

The first definition tells us that for each object  $X \in \mathbf{A}$  and each  $a \in k$  there is a morphism  $a_X : X \rightarrow X$  such that

$$(2-1) \quad a_Y \circ f = f \circ a_X$$

for all  $a \in k$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(X, Y)$ . The second definition tells us there are natural transformations  $c(a) : \text{id}_{\mathbf{A}} \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathbf{A}}$  for each  $a \in k$ , and therefore associated morphisms  $c(a)_X : X \rightarrow X$  for each  $a \in k$  and  $X \in \mathbf{A}$ . The connection between the two definitions is that

$$c(a)_X = a_X$$

for all  $a \in k$  and  $X$  in  $\mathbf{A}$ .

The  $k$ -linear structure on  $\text{Mod}R$  is given by

$$(2-2) \quad a_M(m) = m \cdot \gamma(a).$$

for all  $M \in \text{Mod}R$ ,  $m \in M$ , and  $a \in k$ .

**2.2.  $k$ -linear functors.** Let  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{A}$  be  $k$ -linear categories. A functor  $F : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  is  $k$ -linear if the natural maps  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(FX, FY)$  are  $k$ -linear for all  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Equivalently,  $F$  is  $k$ -linear if  $F$  is additive and

$$F(a_Y) = a_{FY}$$

for all  $a \in k$  and  $Y \in \text{Mod}R$ .

We write

$$\mathbf{B}_k(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{A})$$

for the full subcategory of the category of functors  $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  consisting of  $k$ -linear right exact functors that commute with direct limits. We use the letter  $\mathbf{B}$  to remind us of bimodules.

It is surely well known that an adjoint to a  $k$ -linear functor is again  $k$ -linear but we provide a proof of this for completeness.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{A}$  be  $k$ -linear categories. Let  $G : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be a functor having a left adjoint  $F$ . If  $G$  is  $k$ -linear so is  $F$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $X \in \mathbf{C}$ , and let

$$\nu : \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(FX, FX) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, GFX)$$

be the adjoint isomorphism. By the functoriality of the adjoint isomorphisms the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(FX, FX) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, GFX) \\ -\circ Ff \downarrow & & \downarrow -\circ f \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(FX, FX) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, GFX) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(FX, FX) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, GFX) \\ g\circ- \downarrow & & \downarrow Gg\circ- \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(FX, FX) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, GFX) \end{array}$$

commute for all  $X$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ , all  $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, X)$ , and all  $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(FX, FX)$ .

Let  $\theta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(FX, FX)$  be an element in the top left corner of the diagrams. Let  $f = a_X$  and  $g = a_{FX}$ . The commutativity therefore gives

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\theta \circ F(a_X)) &= \nu(\theta) \circ a_X & \text{and} \\ \nu(a_{FX} \circ \theta) &= G(a_{FX}) \circ \nu(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

But  $\nu(\theta) : X \rightarrow GFX$  is a  $k$ -linear morphism so  $\nu(\theta) \circ a_X = a_{GFX} \circ \nu(\theta)$ . Since  $G$  is  $k$ -linear,  $G(a_{FX}) = a_{GFX}$ . Hence

$$\nu(\theta \circ F(a_X)) = a_{GFX} \circ \nu(\theta) = G(a_{FX}) \circ \nu(\theta) = \nu(a_{FX} \circ \theta).$$

But  $\nu$  is an isomorphism so

$$\theta \circ F(a_X) = a_{FX} \circ \theta.$$

Now take  $\theta = \mathrm{id}_{FX}$  to get  $F(a_X) = a_{FX}$ , so showing that  $F$  is  $k$ -linear.  $\square$

**2.3. The category  $\mathbf{A}_R$ .** For the remainder of this paper, we let  $\mathbf{A}$  denote a  $k$ -linear cocomplete category.

A *left  $R$ -module in  $\mathbf{A}$*  is a pair  $(\mathcal{F}, \rho)$  where  $\mathcal{F}$  is an object in  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\rho : R \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathcal{F}$  is a  $k$ -algebra homomorphism. Popescu [6, p. 108] calls  $(\mathcal{F}, \rho)$  a left  $R$ -object of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Let  $(\mathcal{F}, \rho)$  and  $(\mathcal{G}, \rho')$  be left  $R$ -modules in  $\mathbf{A}$ . We define the set of  *$R$ -module maps* from  $(\mathcal{F}, \rho)$  to  $(\mathcal{G}, \rho')$  to be

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) := \{ \alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \mid \rho'(r) \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ \rho(r) \text{ for all } r \in R \}.$$

Using these  $R$ -module maps as morphisms we then obtain a category  $\mathbf{A}_R$ , the category of left  $R$ -modules in  $\mathbf{A}$ .

Suppose  $(\mathcal{F}, \rho) \in \mathbf{A}_R$ . If  $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{A}$ , then  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$  becomes a right  $R$ -module through the composition map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}),$$

i.e.,

$$\alpha.r := \alpha \circ \rho(r)$$

for  $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$  and  $r \in R$ . This allows us to view  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, -)$  as a functor  $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}R$ .

Let  $\mu_x : R \rightarrow R$  be the right  $R$ -module homomorphism  $\mu_x(r) := xr$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Suppose  $F \in \mathbf{B}_k(\text{Mod}R, \mathbf{A})$ . Define the ring homomorphism*

$$\rho : R \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbf{A}} FR, \quad \rho(x) := F(\mu_x).$$

*Then  $(FR, \rho) \in \mathbf{A}_R$ .*

**Proof.** To prove the lemma it suffices to show that  $\rho$  is a  $k$ -algebra homomorphism, i.e., that  $(\rho \circ \gamma)(a) = a_{FR}$  for all  $a \in k$ . But

$$\rho(\gamma(a)) = F(\mu_{\gamma(a)}) = F(a_R) = a_{FR},$$

where the second equality is due to (2-2). Hence the result.  $\square$

**2.4. The functor  $- \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ .** Recall the standing hypothesis that  $\mathbf{A}$  is cocomplete.

Let  $(\mathcal{F}, \rho) \in \mathbf{A}_R$ . By [6, p. 108], the functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, -) : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \text{Mod}R$  has a left adjoint.<sup>2</sup> We fix a left adjoint and denote it by  $- \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ . By [1, Proposition B3.1], the functor  $- \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$  is unique up to isomorphism (of functors) such that

- $R \otimes_R \mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F}$ , and
- $- \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$  is right exact and commutes with direct sums.

Since the functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, -)$  is  $k$ -linear for all  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{A}$ , Proposition 2.1 implies the following:

**Corollary 2.3.** *If  $(\mathcal{F}, \rho) \in \mathbf{A}_R$ , then  $- \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$  is  $k$ -linear.*

### 3. THE GENERALIZATION OF WATTS'S THEOREM

**Theorem 3.1.** *The functor*

$$\Psi : \mathbf{A}_R \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_k(\text{Mod}R, \mathbf{A})$$

*induced by the assignment*

$$\Psi(\mathcal{F}) = - \otimes_R \mathcal{F},$$

*is an equivalence of categories.*

**3.1. The proof that  $\Psi$  is essentially surjective.**

**Proposition 3.2.**<sup>3</sup> *Let  $F \in \mathbf{B}_k(\text{Mod}R, \mathbf{A})$ . Then  $F \cong - \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$  where  $\mathcal{F} = FR$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\theta_M : M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, FM)$  be the composition

$$M \xrightarrow{\Lambda_M} \text{Hom}_R(R, M) \xrightarrow{F} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, FM)$$

where  $\Lambda_M$  is the canonical isomorphism  $m \rightarrow \lambda_m$  where  $\lambda_m(r) := mr$  for all  $r \in R$ .

Let

$$\Theta_M : M \otimes_R \mathcal{F} \rightarrow FM$$

be the map that corresponds to  $\theta_M$  under the adjoint isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, FM)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(M \otimes_R \mathcal{F}, FM).$$

<sup>2</sup>It is essential that  $\mathbf{A}$  be cocomplete for  $- \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$  to exist. For example, if  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbf{A}$  consists of finitely generated abelian groups and  $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{Z}$ , there is no adjoint. But the hypothesis of cocompleteness is absent from [6, p.108] and parts of [1].

<sup>3</sup>After we finished writing this paper we learned that a version of this result had already been proved by Brzezinski and Wisbauer [2, 39.3, p.410] under the hypothesis that the objects of  $\mathbf{A}$  are abelian groups.

We will show that the  $\Theta_M$ s define a natural transformation, i.e., if  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is a homomorphism of right  $R$ -modules, then the diagram

$$(3-1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M \otimes_R \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{f \otimes \mathcal{F}} & N \otimes_R \mathcal{F} \\ \Theta_M \downarrow & & \downarrow \Theta_N \\ FM & \xrightarrow{Ff} & FN \end{array}$$

commutes. Define  $\eta : \text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{F}, FM) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{F}, FN)$  by  $\eta(g) := Ff \circ g$ . The left and right squares in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_M} & \text{Hom}_R(R, M) & \xrightarrow{F} & \text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{F}, FM) \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta \\ N & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_N} & \text{Hom}_R(R, N) & \xrightarrow{F} & \text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{F}, FN) \end{array}$$

commute, so  $\eta \circ \theta_M = \theta_N \circ f$ .

We now consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(M, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, FM)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Hom}(M \otimes \mathcal{F}, FM) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}(M, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, FN)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Hom}(M \otimes \mathcal{F}, FN) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{Hom}(N, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, FN)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Hom}(N \otimes \mathcal{F}, FN), \end{array}$$

whose verticals are induced by  $f$  and whose horizontals are the adjoint isomorphism. The top and bottom rectangles of this diagram commute by the functoriality of the adjoint isomorphisms. The maps  $\theta_M$  and  $\theta_N$  belong to the top and bottom Hom-sets of the left-hand column and their images in  $\text{Hom}(M, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, FN))$  are the same because  $\eta \circ \theta_M = \theta_N \circ f$ . It follows that the images of  $\Theta_M$  and  $\Theta_N$  in  $\text{Hom}(M \otimes \mathcal{F}, FN)$  are the same. In other words,

$$Ff \circ \Theta_M = \Theta_N \circ (f \otimes \mathcal{F})$$

which proves that (3-1) commutes and hence that the  $\Theta_M$ s define a natural transformation

$$\Theta : - \otimes_R \mathcal{F} \rightarrow F.$$

Because  $(F \circ \Lambda_R)(x) = F(\mu_x) = \rho(x)$ ,  $\theta_R : R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$  is the map giving  $\mathcal{F}$  its  $R$ -module structure, so the corresponding map  $\Theta_R : R \otimes_R \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is an isomorphism. Since the functors  $- \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$  and  $F$  commute with direct sums,  $\Theta_M$  is an isomorphism for all free  $R$ -modules  $M$ . Since  $- \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$  and  $F$  are right exact it follows that  $\Theta_M$  is an isomorphism whenever  $M$  is the cokernel of a map between free  $R$ -modules. But every  $R$ -module is of that form so  $\Theta_M$  is an isomorphism for all  $M$ . Hence  $\Theta$  is an isomorphism of functors.<sup>4</sup>  $\square$

Proposition 3.2 says that the functor  $\Psi$  in Theorem 3.1 is essentially surjective.

<sup>4</sup>The argument in the last part of the proof is a result of B. Mitchell. See [2, 39.1, p.409] for more details.

**3.2. The proof that  $\Psi$  is fully faithful.** Let  $(\mathcal{F}, \rho) \in \mathbf{A}_R$  and let  $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbf{A}$ . The composition

$$(3-2) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(R \otimes_R \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_R(R, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N}),$$

where the first map is the adjoint isomorphism and the second is the canonical isomorphism  $\psi \mapsto \psi(1)$ , induces an isomorphism of functors  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(R \otimes_R \mathcal{F}, -) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, -)$  which, by the Yoneda Lemma, corresponds to a unique isomorphism

$$\theta_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} R \otimes_R \mathcal{F}.$$

The next result is a slightly sharper form of [1, Prop. B3.1(a)].

**Proposition 3.3.** *The diagram*

$$(3-3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} R \otimes_R \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{R \otimes \phi} & R \otimes_R \mathcal{G} \\ \theta_{\mathcal{F}} \uparrow & & \uparrow \theta_{\mathcal{G}} \\ \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{G} \end{array}$$

commutes for all  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{A}_R$  and all  $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ . Therefore, the maps  $\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$  provide an isomorphism

$$\theta : \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{A}_R} \longrightarrow (R \otimes_R -)$$

of functors.

**Proof.** By the Yoneda lemma, the commutivity of (3-3) is equivalent to the condition that for all  $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbf{A}$  the outer rectangle in the diagram

$$(3-4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(R \otimes_R \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N}) & \xleftarrow{- \circ (R \otimes \phi)} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(R \otimes_R \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{N}) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N})) & \xleftarrow{\Gamma} & \mathrm{Hom}_R(R, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{N})) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N}) & \xleftarrow{- \circ \phi} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{N}) \end{array}$$

commutes, where the vertical arrows are the factorizations in (3-2) that are used to define  $\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$  and  $\theta_{\mathcal{G}}$ , and

$$\Gamma(\psi)(x) := \psi(x) \circ \phi$$

for all  $x \in R$  and  $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(R, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{N}))$ .

The uppermost square of (3-4) commutes by functoriality of the adjoint isomorphism. Going clockwise around the lower square, the image in  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N})$  of  $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(R, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{N}))$  is  $\psi(1) \circ \phi$ . Going counter-clockwise around the lower square, the image of  $\psi$  in  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N})$  is  $\Gamma(\psi)(1) = \psi(1) \circ \phi$ . Hence the lower square commutes.

It follows that the outer rectangle commutes.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a cocomplete abelian category and let  $F, G : \mathrm{Mod} R \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be right exact functors that commute with direct sums. Let  $\tau, \tau' : F \rightarrow G$  be natural transformations. If  $\tau_R = \tau'_R$ , then  $\tau = \tau'$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $M_i, i \in I$ , be a collection of right  $R$ -modules. Then there is a natural map

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} FM_i \rightarrow F\left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i\right)$$

and the fact that  $F$  commutes with direct sums says that this map is an isomorphism. By the universal property of colimits, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{i \in I} FM_i & \longrightarrow & F\left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i\right) \\ \bigoplus \tau_{M_i} \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau_{\bigoplus M_i} \\ \bigoplus_{i \in I} GM_i & \longrightarrow & G\left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i\right). \end{array}$$

Since the horizontal maps are isomorphisms, if  $\tau_{M_i} = \tau'_{M_i}$  for all  $i$ , then

$$\tau_{\bigoplus M_i} = \tau'_{\bigoplus M_i}.$$

In particular, it follows that  $\tau_P = \tau'_P$  for all free  $R$ -modules  $P$ .

Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module and let  $P \rightarrow Q \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence in which  $P$  and  $Q$  are free  $R$ -modules. Then there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} FP & \longrightarrow & FQ & \longrightarrow & FM & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \tau_P \downarrow & & \tau_Q \downarrow & & & & \\ GP & \longrightarrow & GQ & \longrightarrow & GM & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

and a unique map  $FM \rightarrow GM$  making the diagram commute, namely  $\tau_M$ . Since  $\tau_P = \tau'_P$  and  $\tau_Q = \tau'_Q$ , it follows that  $\tau_M = \tau'_M$ .  $\square$

Now we prove that  $\Psi$  is fully faithful. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  be objects in  $\mathbf{A}_R$  and let  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ . By Proposition 3.3, the diagram

$$(3-5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} R \otimes_R \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{R \otimes \phi} & R \otimes_R \mathcal{G} \\ \theta_{\mathcal{F}} \uparrow & & \uparrow \theta_{\mathcal{G}} \\ \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{G} \end{array}$$

commutes. It follows from this that  $R \otimes \phi$  is non-zero if  $\phi$  is non-zero. Hence  $\Psi$  is faithful.

To complete the proof of Theorem 3.1, it remains to show that  $\Psi$  is full. To that end, let

$$\tau : - \otimes_R \mathcal{F} \rightarrow - \otimes_R \mathcal{G}$$

be a natural transformation. We must show there is a homomorphism  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$  such that  $\tau_M = M \otimes \phi$  for all  $M \in \text{Mod}R$ .

Define

$$\phi := \theta_{\mathcal{G}}^{-1} \circ \tau_R \circ \theta_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

It follows from the commutativity of (3-5) that  $R \otimes \phi = \tau_R$ . By Lemma 3.4, it follows that  $M \otimes \phi = \tau_M$  for all  $M \in \text{Mod}R$ . In other words,  $\Psi(\phi) = \tau$ .

## 4. AN APPLICATION

Throughout this section, let  $X$  denote a  $k$ -scheme. If  $X = \operatorname{Spec} R$ , we let

$$\widetilde{(-)} : \operatorname{Mod} R \rightarrow \operatorname{Qcoh} X$$

be the quasi-inverse to the global sections functor defined in [4, II, Definition, p. 110].

**Example 4.1.** Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a morphism from an arbitrary scheme to an affine scheme  $X = \operatorname{Spec} R$ . Then  $f^* \circ \widetilde{(-)} : \operatorname{Mod} R \rightarrow \operatorname{Qcoh} Y$  is a right exact functor commuting with direct sums. Proposition 3.2 says that  $f^* \circ \widetilde{(-)} \cong - \otimes_R \mathcal{O}_Y$  where  $\mathcal{O}_Y$  is made into an  $R$ -module via the ring homomorphism

$$R \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(R, R) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_Y(f^* \mathcal{O}_X, f^* \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_Y(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$$

where the first map sends  $r \in R$  to multiplication by  $r$ , the second map is induced by  $f^* \circ \widetilde{(-)}$  and the third isomorphism is induced by the natural isomorphism  $f^* \mathcal{O}_X \cong \mathcal{O}_Y$ .

The motivation for this paper lies in the paper [5], in which  $k$ -schemes  $X$  and  $Y$  and  $k$ -linear functors  $F : \operatorname{Qcoh} X \rightarrow \operatorname{Qcoh} Y$  that are right exact and commute with direct sums are considered. One source of such functors is the following. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a quasi-coherent sheaf on  $X \times_k Y$ , and define

$$(4-1) \quad - \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F} := \operatorname{pr}_{2*}(\operatorname{pr}_1^*(-) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times_k Y}} \mathcal{F})$$

where  $\operatorname{pr}_i : X \times_k Y \rightarrow X, Y, i = 1, 2$ , are the obvious projections. A functor of the form  $- \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}$  is not always an object of  $\mathbf{B}_k(\operatorname{Qcoh} X, \operatorname{Qcoh} Y)$ . This happens, for example, if  $Y = \operatorname{Spec} k, X = \mathbb{P}_k^1$  and  $F = - \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{X \times_k Y} \cong \Gamma(X, -)$ .

On the other hand, an object of  $\mathbf{B}_k(\operatorname{Qcoh} X, \operatorname{Qcoh} Y)$  is not always isomorphic to one of the form  $- \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}$ . This happens, for example, if  $Y = \operatorname{Spec} k, X = \mathbb{P}_k^1$  and  $F = H^1(X, -)$  [5, Proposition 5.4].

The question motivating [5] is whether  $F$  is isomorphic to a functor of the form  $- \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}$ . It follows from Theorem 3.1 that this is always the case if  $X$  is affine, as we now show.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $R$  be a  $k$ -algebra and  $Y$  a  $k$ -scheme. Write  $X := \operatorname{Spec} R$ . Then the inclusion functor*

$$\operatorname{Qcoh}(X \times_k Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_k(\operatorname{Qcoh} X, \operatorname{Qcoh} Y), \quad \mathcal{F} \mapsto - \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F},$$

*is an equivalence of categories.*

**Proof.** By [4, II, exercise 5.17e], the functor

$$\operatorname{pr}_{2*} : \operatorname{Qcoh}(X \times_k Y) \rightarrow \operatorname{Qcoh}(\operatorname{pr}_{2*} \mathcal{O}_{X \times_k Y})$$

is an equivalence, where  $\operatorname{Qcoh}(\operatorname{pr}_{2*} \mathcal{O}_{X \times_k Y})$  denotes the category of quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules with  $\operatorname{pr}_{2*} \mathcal{O}_{X \times_k Y}$ -module structure. Furthermore, it is straightforward to check that the functor

$$\operatorname{Qcoh}(\operatorname{pr}_{2*} \mathcal{O}_{X \times_k Y}) \rightarrow (\operatorname{Qcoh} Y)_R$$

induced by the assignment  $\mathcal{E} \mapsto (\mathcal{E}, \rho)$ , where  $\rho : R \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_Y(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$  is defined through the  $\operatorname{pr}_{2*} \mathcal{O}_{X \times_k Y}$ -structure of  $\mathcal{E}$ , is an equivalence. By Theorem 3.1, the functor

$$(\operatorname{Qcoh} Y)_R \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_k(\operatorname{Mod} R, \operatorname{Qcoh} Y)$$



induced by the assignment  $(\mathcal{E}, \rho) \mapsto - \otimes_R \mathcal{E}$  is an equivalence. Therefore, the functor

$$\mathrm{Qcoh}(X \times_k Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{B}_k(\mathrm{Mod}R, \mathrm{Qcoh}Y)$$

induced by the assignment  $\mathcal{F} \mapsto - \otimes_R \mathrm{pr}_{2*} \mathcal{F}$  is an equivalence. By the uniqueness properties of the functor  $- \otimes_R \mathcal{E}$  described in Section 2.4, we have an isomorphism of functors

$$- \otimes_R \mathrm{pr}_{2*} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{(-)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}$$

in  $\mathrm{B}_k(R, \mathrm{Qcoh}Y)$ . It follows that the functor

$$\mathrm{Qcoh}(X \times_k Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{B}_k(\mathrm{Mod}R, \mathrm{Qcoh}Y)$$

induced by the assignment  $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \widetilde{(-)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}$  is an equivalence. The claim follows easily from this.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Artin and J.J. Zhang, Abstract Hilbert Schemes, *Alg. and Reprn. Theory*, **4** (2001) 305-394.
- [2] T. Brzezinski and R. Wisbauer, *Corings and Comodules*, Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser. 309, Camb. Univ. Press, 2003.
- [3] S. Eilenberg, Abstract description of some basic functors, *J. Ind. Math. Soc.*, **24** (1960) 231-234.
- [4] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1977.
- [5] A. Nyman, The Eilenberg-Watts theorem over schemes, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **214** (2010), 1922-1954.
- [6] N. Popescu, *Abelian Categories with Applications to Rings and Modules*, Academic Press, London 1973.
- [7] C. E. Watts, Intrinsic characterizations of some additive functors, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **11** (1960) 5-8.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WESTERN WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY, BELLINGHAM, WA 98225  
*E-mail address:* `adam.nyman@wwu.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, BOX 354350, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98195  
*E-mail address:* `smith@math.washington.edu`