

# Species and noncommutative projective lines over non-algebraic bimodules

Adam Nyman (Joint work with Daniel Chan)

Western Washington University

January 9, 2016

# The Kronecker Algebra

$K = \text{field}$ ,  $V := Kx \oplus Ky$

The Kronecker Algebra  $\Lambda$

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} K & V \\ 0 & K \end{pmatrix}$$

# The Kronecker Algebra

$K = \text{field}, V := Kx \oplus Ky$

The Kronecker Algebra  $\Lambda$

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} K & V \\ 0 & K \end{pmatrix}$$

(Right)  $\Lambda$ -module

# The Kronecker Algebra

$K = \text{field}$ ,  $V := Kx \oplus Ky$

The Kronecker Algebra  $\Lambda$

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} K & V \\ 0 & K \end{pmatrix}$$

(Right)  $\Lambda$ -module

$\longleftrightarrow$

$(N_0, N_1)$  and  $x, y \in \text{Hom}_K(N_0, N_1)$  w/mult

$$(n_0, n_1) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & cx + dy \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} := (n_0a, n_0cx + n_0dy + n_1b)$$

# The Kronecker Algebra

$K = \text{field}$ ,  $V := Kx \oplus Ky$

The Kronecker Algebra  $\Lambda$

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} K & V \\ 0 & K \end{pmatrix}$$

(Right)  $\Lambda$ -module

$\longleftrightarrow$

$(N_0, N_1)$  and  $x, y \in \text{Hom}_K(N_0, N_1)$  w/mult

$$(n_0, n_1) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & cx + dy \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} := (n_0a, n_0cx + n_0dy + n_1b)$$

$\longleftrightarrow$

$(N_0, N_1)$  w/linear map  $N_0 \otimes_K V \rightarrow N_1$

# The Kronecker Algebra

$K = \text{field}$ ,  $V := Kx \oplus Ky$

## The Kronecker Algebra $\Lambda$

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} K & V \\ 0 & K \end{pmatrix}$$

(Right)  $\Lambda$ -module

$\longleftrightarrow$

$(N_0, N_1)$  and  $x, y \in \text{Hom}_K(N_0, N_1)$  w/mult

$$(n_0, n_1) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & cx + dy \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} := (n_0a, n_0cx + n_0dy + n_1b)$$

$\longleftrightarrow$

$(N_0, N_1)$  w/linear map  $N_0 \otimes_K V \rightarrow N_1$  Notation:  $N_0 \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{x} \\ \xrightarrow{y} \end{matrix} N_1$

# Indecomposable $\Lambda$ -modules

# Indecomposable $\Lambda$ -modules

If  $a, b \in K$  not both zero,

$$K \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{a} \\ \xrightarrow{b} \end{array} K$$

is indecomposable.



# Indecomposable $\Lambda$ -modules

If  $a, b \in K$  not both zero,

$$K \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{a} \\ \xrightarrow{b} \end{array} K$$

is indecomposable. If  $c \neq 0$ , then isomorphic to

$$K \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{ca} \\ \xrightarrow{cb} \end{array} K$$

# Indecomposable $\Lambda$ -modules

If  $a, b \in K$  not both zero,

$$K \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{a} \\ \xrightarrow{b} \end{array} K$$

is indecomposable. If  $c \neq 0$ , then isomorphic to

$$K \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{ca} \\ \xrightarrow{cb} \end{array} K$$

## Heuristic

Points of  $\mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow$  Indecomposable  $\Lambda$ -modules

# Beilinson's Theorem

## Theorem (Beilinson 1978)

The functor  $R\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1), -)$  gives an equivalence

$$D^b(\mathrm{coh}\mathbb{P}(V)) \rightarrow D^b(\mathrm{mod}\Lambda).$$

# Beilinson's Theorem

## Theorem (Beilinson 1978)

The functor  $R\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1), -)$  gives an equivalence

$$D^b(\mathrm{coh}\mathbb{P}(V)) \rightarrow D^b(\mathrm{mod}\Lambda).$$

## Consequence

Indecomposables in  $\mathrm{coh}\mathbb{P}(V) \longleftrightarrow$  Indecomposables in  $\mathrm{mod}\Lambda$

# Beilinson's Theorem

## Theorem (Beilinson 1978)

The functor  $R\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1), -)$  gives an equivalence

$$D^b(\mathrm{coh}\mathbb{P}(V)) \rightarrow D^b(\mathrm{mod}\Lambda).$$

## Consequence

Indecomposables in  $\mathrm{coh}\mathbb{P}(V) \longleftrightarrow$  Indecomposables in  $\mathrm{mod}\Lambda$

## Remark

- indecomp. vector bundles  $\longleftrightarrow$  modules of dimension type  $(a, b)$ ,  $|a - b| = 1$ .
- indecomp. torsion modules  $\longleftrightarrow$  modules of dimension type  $(n, n)$

# Bimodule Species

## Definition

$K_0, K_1$  fields (with  $\text{char} \neq 2$ ),  $V = K_0 - K_1$ -bimodule with left-right dimension two. The **bimodule species** corresponding to  $V$  is the algebra

$$\Lambda(V) := \begin{pmatrix} K_0 & V \\ 0 & K_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

# Bimodule Species

## Definition

$K_0, K_1$  fields (with  $\text{char} \neq 2$ ),  $V = K_0 - K_1$ -bimodule with left-right dimension two. The **bimodule species** corresponding to  $V$  is the algebra

$$\Lambda(V) := \begin{pmatrix} K_0 & V \\ 0 & K_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\Lambda(V)$ -modules

# Bimodule Species

## Definition

$K_0, K_1$  fields (with  $\text{char} \neq 2$ ),  $V = K_0 - K_1$ -bimodule with left-right dimension two. The **bimodule species** corresponding to  $V$  is the algebra

$$\Lambda(V) := \begin{pmatrix} K_0 & V \\ 0 & K_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\Lambda(V)$ -modules

$\longleftrightarrow$

$(N_0, N_1)$  w/  $K_1$ -linear map  $N_0 \otimes_{K_0} V \rightarrow N_1$



# Bimodule Species

## Definition

$K_0, K_1$  fields (with  $\text{char} \neq 2$ ),  $V = K_0 - K_1$ -bimodule with left-right dimension two. The **bimodule species** corresponding to  $V$  is the algebra

$$\Lambda(V) := \begin{pmatrix} K_0 & V \\ 0 & K_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\Lambda(V)$ -modules

$\longleftrightarrow$

$(N_0, N_1)$  w/  $K_1$ -linear map  $N_0 \otimes_{K_0} V \rightarrow N_1$

## Question

What are the indecomposable  $\Lambda(V)$ -modules?

## Definition

$V$  **algebraic** if there is subfield  $k$  of  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  which acts centrally on  $V$  and such that  $K_i/k$  is finite.

# Ringel's Results

## Definition

$V$  **algebraic** if there is subfield  $k$  of  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  which acts centrally on  $V$  and such that  $K_i/k$  is finite.

Ringel classifies indecomposables of  $\Lambda(V)$  in the algebraic case and in the **non-simple** non-algebraic case.

# Ringel's Results

## Definition

$V$  **algebraic** if there is subfield  $k$  of  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  which acts centrally on  $V$  and such that  $K_i/k$  is finite.

Ringel classifies indecomposables of  $\Lambda(V)$  in the algebraic case and in the **non-simple** non-algebraic case.

## Theorem (Ringel 1976)

Suppose  $V$  is non-simple.

## Definition

$V$  **algebraic** if there is subfield  $k$  of  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  which acts centrally on  $V$  and such that  $K_i/k$  is finite.

Ringel classifies indecomposables of  $\Lambda(V)$  in the algebraic case and in the **non-simple** non-algebraic case.

## Theorem (Ringel 1976)

Suppose  $V$  is non-simple.

- $\exists!$  indecomposable of dim. type  $(a, b)$  for each pair with  $|a - b| = 1$ .

## Definition

$V$  **algebraic** if there is subfield  $k$  of  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  which acts centrally on  $V$  and such that  $K_i/k$  is finite.

Ringel classifies indecomposables of  $\Lambda(V)$  in the algebraic case and in the **non-simple** non-algebraic case.

## Theorem (Ringel 1976)

Suppose  $V$  is non-simple.

- $\exists!$  indecomposable of dim. type  $(a, b)$  for each pair with  $|a - b| = 1$ .
- All others have type  $(n, n)$ . They form category equivalent to

$$T \times F$$

$T$  uniserial w/ one simple object,  $F =$  f.l. modules over  $K_0[x; \sigma, \delta]$ .

# Our Main Idea

We prove there is a correspondence

Indecomposables in  $\text{coh}\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V) \longleftrightarrow$  Indecomposables in  $\text{mod}\Lambda(V)$

# Our Main Idea

We prove there is a correspondence

Indecomposables in  $\text{coh}\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V) \longleftrightarrow$  Indecomposables in  $\text{mod}\Lambda(V)$

$\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V) =$  noncommutative projective line (M. Van den Bergh).



# Our Main Idea

We prove there is a correspondence

Indecomposables in  $\text{coh}\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V) \longleftrightarrow$  Indecomposables in  $\text{mod}\Lambda(V)$

$\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V)$  = noncommutative projective line (M. Van den Bergh).

Under correspondence

# Our Main Idea

We prove there is a correspondence

Indecomposables in  $\text{coh}\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V) \longleftrightarrow$  Indecomposables in  $\text{mod}\Lambda(V)$

$\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V)$  = noncommutative projective line (M. Van den Bergh).

Under correspondence

- indecomp. vector bundles over  $\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V) \longleftrightarrow$  modules of dimension type  $(a, b)$ ,  $|a - b| = 1$ .
- indecomp. torsion modules over  $\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V) \longleftrightarrow$  modules of dimension type  $(n, n)$

# What is $\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V)$ ? Part I

# What is $\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V)$ ? Part I

Definition (Artin-Zhang 1994)

Let  $A$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded ring.

# What is $\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V)$ ? Part I

Definition (Artin-Zhang 1994)

Let  $A$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded ring.

$$\text{proj } A := \text{gr}A/\text{tors}A$$

# What is $\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V)$ ? Part I

## Definition (Artin-Zhang 1994)

Let  $A$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded ring.

$$\text{proj } A := \text{gr}A/\text{tors}A$$

where

- $\text{gr}A$  is category of f.g. graded  $A$ -modules and
- $\text{tors}A$  is the full subcategory of modules which are zero in high degree.

# What is $\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V)$ ? Part I

## Definition (Artin-Zhang 1994)

Let  $A$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded ring.

$$\text{proj } A := \text{gr}A / \text{tors}A$$

where

- $\text{gr}A$  is category of f.g. graded  $A$ -modules and
- $\text{tors}A$  is the full subcategory of modules which are zero in high degree.

Motivation:

## Theorem (Serre 1955)

If  $k$  is a field,  $A$  is a f.g. commutative  $k$ -algebra generated in degree one and  $X$  is the associated scheme, then

$$\text{proj}A \cong \text{coh}X$$

# What is $\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V)$ ? Part II

$\mathbb{S}(W)$

Recall that for  $W$  a vector space over a field  $K$ ,

$$\mathbb{S}(W) := \frac{K \oplus W \oplus W^{\otimes 2} \oplus \dots}{\langle x \otimes y - y \otimes x \rangle}$$



# What is $\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V)$ ? Part II

$\mathbb{S}(W)$

Recall that for  $W$  a vector space over a field  $K$ ,

$$\mathbb{S}(W) := \frac{K \oplus W \oplus W^{\otimes 2} \oplus \dots}{\langle x \otimes y - y \otimes x \rangle}$$

and

$$\text{proj}\mathbb{S}(W) \equiv \text{coh}\mathbb{P}(W)$$

# What is $\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V)$ ? Part II

$\mathbb{S}(W)$

Recall that for  $W$  a vector space over a field  $K$ ,

$$\mathbb{S}(W) := \frac{K \oplus W \oplus W^{\otimes 2} \oplus \dots}{\langle x \otimes y - y \otimes x \rangle}$$

and

$$\text{proj}\mathbb{S}(W) \equiv \text{coh}\mathbb{P}(W)$$

Want noncommutative ring  $\mathbb{S}^{nc}(V)$  depending only on  $K_0 - K_1$ -bimodule  $V$

# What is $\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V)$ ? Part II

$\mathbb{S}(W)$

Recall that for  $W$  a vector space over a field  $K$ ,

$$\mathbb{S}(W) := \frac{K \oplus W \oplus W^{\otimes 2} \oplus \dots}{\langle x \otimes y - y \otimes x \rangle}$$

and

$$\text{proj}\mathbb{S}(W) \equiv \text{coh}\mathbb{P}(W)$$

Want noncommutative ring  $\mathbb{S}^{nc}(V)$  depending only on  $K_0 - K_1$ -bimodule  $V$  so we can define (after M. Van den Bergh)

$$\text{coh}\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V) := \text{proj}\mathbb{S}^{nc}(V).$$

## Heuristic

An algebra  $A$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -**algebra** if  $A = \bigoplus_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} A_{ij}$  and  $A_{ij}A_{jk} \subset A_{ik}$ .

## Heuristic

An algebra  $A$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -**algebra** if  $A = \bigoplus_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} A_{ij}$  and  $A_{ij}A_{jk} \subset A_{ik}$ .

## Definition of $\mathbb{S}^{nc}(V)$ (Van den Bergh (2000))

- $\exists \eta_i : K \rightarrow V^{i*} \otimes_K V^{i+1*}$  where  $K = K_0$  or  $K_1$

## Heuristic

An algebra  $A$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -**algebra** if  $A = \bigoplus_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} A_{ij}$  and  $A_{ij}A_{jk} \subset A_{ik}$ .

## Definition of $\mathbb{S}^{nc}(V)$ (Van den Bergh (2000))

- $\exists \eta_i : K \rightarrow V^{i*} \otimes_K V^{i+1*}$  where  $K = K_0$  or  $K_1$
- $\mathbb{S}^{nc}(V)_{ij} = \frac{V^{i*} \otimes_K \cdots \otimes_K V^{j-1*}}{\text{relns. gen. by } \eta_i}$  for  $j > i$ ,

## Heuristic

An algebra  $A$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -**algebra** if  $A = \bigoplus_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} A_{ij}$  and  $A_{ij}A_{jk} \subset A_{ik}$ .

## Definition of $\mathbb{S}^{nc}(V)$ (Van den Bergh (2000))

- $\exists \eta_i : K \rightarrow V^{i*} \otimes_K V^{i+1*}$  where  $K = K_0$  or  $K_1$
- $\mathbb{S}^{nc}(V)_{ij} = \frac{V^{i*} \otimes_K \cdots \otimes_K V^{j-1*}}{\text{relns. gen. by } \eta_i}$  for  $j > i$ ,
- mult. induced by  $\otimes_K$ .

# Geometry of $\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V)$



## Theorem (Chan-N.)

- Every object of  $\text{coh}\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V)$  is a direct sum of a torsion sheaf and a torsion free sheaf.

## Theorem (Chan-N.)

- Every object of  $\text{coh}\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V)$  is a direct sum of a torsion sheaf and a torsion free sheaf.
- There exist torsion free sheaves  $\mathcal{O}(i)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V)$ , and every torsion-free sheaf is a direct sum of these.

## Theorem (Chan-N.)

- Every object of  $\text{coh}\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V)$  is a direct sum of a torsion sheaf and a torsion free sheaf.
- There exist torsion free sheaves  $\mathcal{O}(i)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V)$ , and every torsion-free sheaf is a direct sum of these.
- $\text{RHom}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1), -)$  gives an equivalence

$$D^b(\text{coh}\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V)) \rightarrow D^b(\text{mod}\Lambda(V)).$$

## Theorem (Chan-N.)

- Every object of  $\text{coh}\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V)$  is a direct sum of a torsion sheaf and a torsion free sheaf.
- There exist torsion free sheaves  $\mathcal{O}(i)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V)$ , and every torsion-free sheaf is a direct sum of these.
- $\text{RHom}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1), -)$  gives an equivalence

$$D^b(\text{coh}\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V)) \rightarrow D^b(\text{mod}\Lambda(V)).$$

## Consequence

Indecomposables in  $\text{coh}\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V) \longleftrightarrow$  Indecomposables in  $\text{mod}\Lambda(V)$

# Ringel's Theorem Revisited

# Ringel's Theorem Revisited

- $\mathbb{S}^{nc}(V)$  has normal "element"  $g$
- $g = 0$  corresponds to commutative point in  $\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V)$

# Ringel's Theorem Revisited

- $\mathbb{S}^{nc}(V)$  has normal "element"  $g$
- $g = 0$  corresponds to commutative point in  $\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V)$

## Theorem (Chan-N)

Torsion sheaves in  $\text{coh}\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V) = \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{F}$  where

- $\mathbb{T}$  is uniserial with one simple object and
- $\mathbb{F} \cong$  category of finite length  $\mathbb{S}^{nc}(V)[g^{-1}]_{00}$ -modules.

# Ringel's Theorem Revisited

- $\mathbb{S}^{nc}(V)$  has normal "element"  $g$
- $g = 0$  corresponds to commutative point in  $\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V)$

## Theorem (Chan-N)

Torsion sheaves in  $\text{coh}\mathbb{P}^{nc}(V) = \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{F}$  where

- $\mathbb{T}$  is uniserial with one simple object and
- $\mathbb{F} \cong$  category of finite length  $\mathbb{S}^{nc}(V)[g^{-1}]_{00}$ -modules.

## Heuristic

$\mathbb{T}$  corresponds to sheaves supported on  $g = 0$  while  $\mathbb{F}$  corresponds to sheaves supported on the (affine open) complement  $g \neq 0$ .



**Thank you for your attention!**