

SERRE FINITENESS AND SERRE VANISHING FOR NON-COMMUTATIVE \mathbb{P}^1 -BUNDLES

ADAM NYMAN

ABSTRACT. Suppose X is a smooth projective scheme of finite type over a field K , \mathcal{E} is a locally free \mathcal{O}_X -bimodule of rank 2, \mathcal{A} is the non-commutative symmetric algebra generated by \mathcal{E} and $\text{Proj}\mathcal{A}$ is the corresponding non-commutative \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle. We use the properties of the internal Hom functor $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}}(-, -)$ to prove versions of Serre finiteness and Serre vanishing for $\text{Proj}\mathcal{A}$. As a corollary to Serre finiteness, we prove that $\text{Proj}\mathcal{A}$ is Ext-finite. This fact is used in [2] to prove that if X is a smooth curve over $\text{Spec } K$, $\text{Proj}\mathcal{A}$ has a Riemann-Roch theorem and an adjunction formula.

Keywords: non-commutative geometry, Serre finiteness, non-commutative projective bundle.

1. INTRODUCTION

Non-commutative \mathbb{P}^1 -bundles over curves play a prominent role in the theory of non-commutative surfaces. For example, certain non-commutative quadrics are isomorphic to non-commutative \mathbb{P}^1 -bundles over curves [9]. In addition, every non-commutative deformation of a Hirzebruch surface is given by a non-commutative \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over \mathbb{P}^1 [8, Theorem 7.4.1, p. 29].

The purpose of this paper is to prove versions of Serre finiteness and Serre vanishing (Theorem 3.5 (1) and (2), respectively) for non-commutative \mathbb{P}^1 -bundles over smooth projective schemes of finite type over a field K . As a corollary to the first of these results, we prove that such non-commutative \mathbb{P}^1 -bundles are Ext-finite. This fact is used to prove that non-commutative \mathbb{P}^1 -bundles over smooth curves have a Riemann-Roch theorem and an adjunction formula [2].

We now review some important notions from non-commutative algebraic geometry in order to recall the definition of non-commutative \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle. We conclude the introduction by relating the results of this paper to Mori's intersection theory.

If X is a quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme, then $\text{Mod}X$, the category of quasi-coherent sheaves on X , is a Grothendieck category. This leads to the following generalization of the notion of scheme, introduced by Van den Bergh in order to define a notion of blowing-up in the non-commutative setting.

Definition 1.1. [7] A **quasi-scheme** is a Grothendieck category $\text{Mod}X$, which we denote by X . X is called a **noetherian** quasi-scheme if the category $\text{Mod}X$ is locally noetherian. X is called a **quasi-scheme over \mathbf{K}** if the category $\text{Mod}X$ is K -linear.

If R is a ring and $\text{Mod}R$ is the category of right R -modules, $\text{Mod}R$ is a quasi-scheme, called the non-commutative affine scheme associated to R . If A is a graded

ring, $\text{Gr}A$ is the category of graded right A -modules, $\text{Tors}A$ is the full subcategory of $\text{Gr}A$ consisting of direct limits of right bounded modules, and $\text{Proj}A$ is the quotient category $\text{Gr}A/\text{Tors}A$, then $\text{Proj}A$ is a quasi-scheme called the non-commutative projective scheme associated to A . If A is an Artin-Schelter regular algebra of dimension 3 with the same hilbert series as a polynomial ring in 3 variables, $\text{Proj}A$ is called a non-commutative \mathbb{P}^2 .

The notion of non-commutative \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a smooth scheme X generalizes that of commutative \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over X . In order to recall the definition of non-commutative \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle, we review some preliminary notions. Let S be a scheme of finite type over $\text{Spec} K$ and let X be an S -scheme. For $i = 1, 2$, let $\text{pr}_i : X \times_S X \rightarrow X$ denote the standard projections, let $\delta : X \rightarrow X \times_S X$ denote the diagonal morphism, and let Δ denote the image of δ .

Definition 1.2. A **coherent \mathcal{O}_X -bimodule**, \mathcal{E} , is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X \times_S X}$ -module such that $\text{pr}_{i|_{\text{Supp} \mathcal{E}}}$ is finite for $i = 1, 2$. A coherent \mathcal{O}_X -bimodule \mathcal{E} is **locally free of rank n** if $\text{pr}_{i*} \mathcal{E}$ is locally free of rank n for $i = 1, 2$.

Now assume X is smooth. If \mathcal{E} is a locally free \mathcal{O}_X -bimodule, then let \mathcal{E}^* denote the dual of \mathcal{E} [8, p. 6], and let \mathcal{E}^{j*} denote the dual of \mathcal{E}^{j-1*} . Finally, let $\eta : \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E}^*$ denote the counit from \mathcal{O}_Δ to the bimodule tensor product of \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}^* [8, p. 7].

Definition 1.3. [8, Section 4.1] Let \mathcal{E} be a locally free \mathcal{O}_X -bimodule. The **non-commutative symmetric algebra generated by \mathcal{E}** , \mathcal{A} , is the sheaf- \mathbb{Z} -algebra generated by the \mathcal{E}^{j*} subject to the relations $\eta(\mathcal{O}_\Delta)$.

A more explicit definition of non-commutative symmetric algebra is given in Section 2. We now recall the definition of non-commutative \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle.

Definition 1.4. [8] Suppose X is a smooth scheme of finite type over K , \mathcal{E} is a locally free \mathcal{O}_X -bimodule of rank 2 and \mathcal{A} is the non-commutative symmetric algebra generated by \mathcal{E} . Let $\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}$ denote the category of graded right \mathcal{A} -modules, let $\text{Tors}\mathcal{A}$ denote the full subcategory of $\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}$ consisting of direct limits of right-bounded modules, and let $\text{Proj}\mathcal{A}$ denote the quotient of $\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}$ by $\text{Tors}\mathcal{A}$. The category $\text{Proj}\mathcal{A}$ is a **non-commutative \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over X** .

This notion generalizes that of a commutative \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over X as follows. Let \mathcal{E} be an \mathcal{O}_X -bimodule on which \mathcal{O}_X acts centrally. Then \mathcal{E} can be identified with the direct image $\text{pr}_{i*} \mathcal{E}$ for $i = 1, 2$. If, furthermore, \mathcal{E} is locally free of rank 2 and \mathcal{A} is the non-commutative symmetric algebra generated by \mathcal{E} , Van den Bergh proves [8, Lemma 4.2.1] that the category $\text{Proj}\mathcal{A}$ is equivalent to the category $\text{Mod}\mathbb{P}_X(\text{pr}_{i*} \mathcal{E})$, where $\mathbb{P}_X(-)$ is the usual (commutative) projectivization.

One of the major problems in non-commutative algebraic geometry is to classify non-commutative surfaces. Since intersection theory on commutative surfaces facilitates the classification of commutative surfaces, one expects intersection theory to be an important tool in non-commutative algebraic geometry. Mori shows [2, Theorem 3.11] that if Y is a noetherian quasi-scheme over a field K such that

- (1) Y is Ext-finite,
- (2) the cohomological dimension of Y is 2, and
- (3) Y satisfies Serre duality

then versions of the Riemann-Roch theorem and the adjunction formula hold for Y . Let X be a smooth curve over $\text{Spec} K$. In [5], we prove that a non-commutative

\mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over X satisfies (2) and (3) above (see Section 4 for a precise statement of these results). In this paper we prove that a non-commutative \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a projective scheme of finite type satisfies (1) (Corollary 3.6). We conclude the paper by stating the versions of the Riemann-Roch theorem and the adjunction formula which hold for non-commutative \mathbb{P}^1 -bundles.

In what follows, K is a field, X is a smooth, projective scheme of finite type over $\text{Spec } K$, $\text{Mod } X$ denotes the category of quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules, and we abuse notation by calling objects in this category \mathcal{O}_X -modules.

Acknowledgment: We thank Izuru Mori for showing us his preprint [2] and for helping us understand the material in Section 4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Before we prove Serre finiteness and Serre vanishing, we review the definition of non-commutative symmetric algebra and the definition and basic properties of the internal Hom functor $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{Gr } \mathcal{A}}(-, -)$ on $\text{Gr } \mathcal{A}$.

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{E} be a locally free \mathcal{O}_X -bimodule. The **non-commutative symmetric algebra generated by \mathcal{E}** is the sheaf- \mathbb{Z} -algebra $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ with components

- $\mathcal{A}_{ii} = \mathcal{O}_\Delta$
- $\mathcal{A}_{i,i+1} = \mathcal{E}^{i*}$,
- $\mathcal{A}_{ij} = \mathcal{A}_{i,i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{A}_{j-1,j} / \mathcal{R}_{ij}$ for $j > i + 1$, where $\mathcal{R}_{ij} \subset \mathcal{A}_{i,i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{A}_{j-1,j}$ is the \mathcal{O}_X -bimodule

$$\sum_{k=i}^{j-2} \mathcal{A}_{i,i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{A}_{k-1,k} \otimes \mathcal{Q}_k \otimes \mathcal{A}_{k+2,k+3} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{A}_{j-1,j},$$

and \mathcal{Q}_i is the image of the unit map $\mathcal{O}_\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{i,i+1} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{i+1,i+2}$, and

- $\mathcal{A}_{ij} = 0$ if $i > j$

and with multiplication, μ , defined as follows: for $i < j < k$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{ij} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{jk} &= \frac{\mathcal{A}_{i,i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{A}_{j-1,j}}{\mathcal{R}_{ij}} \otimes \frac{\mathcal{A}_{j,j+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{A}_{k-1,k}}{\mathcal{R}_{jk}} \\ &\cong \frac{\mathcal{A}_{i,i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{A}_{k-1,k}}{\mathcal{R}_{ij} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{j,j+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{A}_{k-1,k} + \mathcal{A}_{i,i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{A}_{j-1,j} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{jk}} \end{aligned}$$

by [4, Corollary 3.18]. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{ik} &\cong \mathcal{R}_{ij} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{j,j+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{A}_{k-1,k} + \mathcal{A}_{i,i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{A}_{j-1,j} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{jk} + \\ &\quad \mathcal{A}_{i,i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{A}_{j-2,j-1} \otimes \mathcal{Q}_{j-1} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{j+1,j+2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{A}_{k-1,k}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus there is an epi $\mu_{ijk} : \mathcal{A}_{ij} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{jk} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{ik}$.

If $i = j$, let $\mu_{ijk} : \mathcal{A}_{ii} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{ik} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{ik}$ be the scalar multiplication map $\circlearrowleft \mu : \mathcal{O}_\Delta \otimes \mathcal{A}_{ik} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{ik}$. Similarly, if $j = k$, let $\mu_{ijk} : \mathcal{A}_{ij} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{jj} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ be the scalar multiplication map μ_{\circlearrowright} . Using the fact that the tensor product of bimodules is associative, one can check that multiplication is associative.

Definition 2.2. Let $\text{Bimod } \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}$ denote the category of $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}$ -bimodules. Specifically:

- an object of $\text{Bimod}\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}$ is a triple

$$(\mathcal{C} = \{C_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}, \{\mu_{ijk}\}_{i,j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}, \{\psi_{ijk}\}_{i,j,k \in \mathbb{Z}})$$

where C_{ij} is an \mathcal{O}_X -bimodule and $\mu_{ijk} : C_{ij} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{jk} \rightarrow C_{ik}$ and $\psi_{ijk} : \mathcal{A}_{ij} \otimes C_{jk} \rightarrow C_{ik}$ are morphisms of \mathcal{O}_X -modules making \mathcal{C} an \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A} bimodule.

- A morphism $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between objects in $\text{Bimod}\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}$ is a collection $\phi = \{\phi_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that $\phi_{ij} : C_{ij} \rightarrow D_{ij}$ is a morphism of \mathcal{O}_X -modules, and such that ϕ respects the $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}$ -bimodule structure on \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} .

Let \mathbb{B} denote the full subcategory of $\text{Bimod}\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}$ whose objects $\mathcal{C} = \{C_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ have the property that C_{ij} is coherent and locally free for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}$ denote the full subcategory of \mathbb{B} consisting of objects \mathcal{C} such that for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $C_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq n$ (we say \mathcal{C} is left-concentrated in degree n).

Definition 2.3. [5, Definition 3.7] Let \mathcal{C} be an object in \mathbb{B} and let \mathcal{M} be a graded right \mathcal{A} -module. We define $\underline{\mathcal{H}om}_{\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$ to be the \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathcal{O}_X -module whose k th component is the equalizer of the diagram

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \prod_i \mathcal{M}_i \otimes \mathcal{C}_{ki}^* & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \prod_j \mathcal{M}_j \otimes \mathcal{C}_{kj}^* \\ \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\ \prod_j (\prod_i (\mathcal{M}_j \otimes \mathcal{A}_{ij}^*) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{ki}^*) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \prod_j (\prod_i \mathcal{M}_j \otimes (\mathcal{C}_{ki} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{ij})^*) \end{array}$$

where α is the identity map, β is induced by the composition

$$\mathcal{M}_i \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathcal{M}_i \otimes \mathcal{A}_{ij} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{ij}^* \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{M}_j \otimes \mathcal{A}_{ij}^*,$$

γ is induced by the dual of

$$\mathcal{C}_{ki} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{ij} \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{C}_{kj},$$

and δ is induced by the composition

$$(\mathcal{M}_j \otimes \mathcal{A}_{ij}^*) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{ij}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_j \otimes (\mathcal{A}_{ij}^* \otimes \mathcal{C}_{ki}^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_j \otimes (\mathcal{C}_{ki} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{ij})^*$$

whose left arrow is the associativity isomorphism and whose right arrow is induced by the canonical map [5, Section 2.1]. If \mathcal{C} is an object of $\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}$ left-concentrated in degree k , we define $\mathcal{H}om_{\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$ to be the equalizer of (1).

Let $\tau : \text{Gr}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Tors}\mathcal{A}$ denote the torsion functor, let $\pi : \text{Gr}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Proj}\mathcal{A}$ denote the quotient functor, and let $\omega : \text{Proj}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Gr}\mathcal{A}$ denote the right adjoint to π . For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $e_k\mathcal{A}$ denote the right- \mathcal{A} -module $\bigoplus_{l \geq k} \mathcal{A}_{kl}$. We define $e_k\mathcal{A}_{\geq k+n}$ to be the sum $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} e_k\mathcal{A}_{k+n+i}$ and we let $\mathcal{A}_{\geq n} = \bigoplus_k e_k\mathcal{A}_{\geq k+n}$.

Theorem 2.4. *If \mathcal{M} is an object in $\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}$ and \mathcal{C} is an object in \mathbb{B} , $\underline{\mathcal{H}om}_{\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$ inherits a graded right \mathcal{A} -module structure from the left \mathcal{A} -module structure of \mathcal{C} , making $\underline{\mathcal{H}om}_{\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}}(-, -) : \mathbb{B}^{\text{op}} \times \text{Gr}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Gr}\mathcal{A}$ a bifunctor.*

Furthermore

- (1) $\tau(-) \cong \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underline{\mathcal{H}om}_{\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{\geq n}, -)$,
- (2) If \mathcal{F} is a coherent, locally free \mathcal{O}_X -bimodule,

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F} \otimes e_k\mathcal{A}, -) \cong (-)_k \otimes \mathcal{F}^*$$

and

(3) If \mathcal{L} is an \mathcal{O}_X -module and \mathcal{M} is an object of $\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}$,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{L}, \text{Hom}_{\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}}(e_k\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{L} \otimes e_k\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}).$$

Proof. The first statement is [5, Proposition 3.11], (1) is [5, Proposition 3.19], (2) is [5, Theorem 3.16(4)] and (3) is a consequence of [5, Proposition 3.10] \square

By Theorem 2.4 (2), $\text{Hom}_{\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}}(-, \mathcal{M})$ is $\mathcal{F} \otimes e_k\mathcal{A}$ -acyclic when \mathcal{F} is a coherent, locally free \mathcal{O}_X -bimodule. Thus, one may use the resolution [8, Theorem 7.1.2] to compute the derived functors of $\text{Hom}_{\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{\geq 1}, -)$. By Theorem 2.4(1), we may thus compute the derived functors of τ :

Theorem 2.5. *The cohomological dimension of τ is 2. For $i < 2$ and \mathcal{L} a coherent, locally free \mathcal{O}_X -module,*

$$R^i \tau(\mathcal{L} \otimes e_k\mathcal{A}) = 0$$

and

$$(R^2 \tau(\mathcal{L} \otimes e_l\mathcal{A}))_{l-2-i} \cong \begin{cases} \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{l-2-i, l-2}^* & \text{if } i \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The first result is [5, Corollary 4.10], while the remainder is [5, Lemma 4.9]. \square

3. SERRE FINITENESS AND SERRE VANISHING

In this section let I denote a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. The proof of the following lemma is straightforward, so we omit it.

Lemma 3.1. *If \mathcal{M} is a noetherian object in $\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}$, $\pi\mathcal{M}$ is a noetherian object in $\text{Proj}\mathcal{A}$ and \mathcal{M} is locally coherent.*

Lemma 3.2. *If \mathcal{M} is a noetherian object in $\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}$, $R^i\tau\mathcal{M}$ is locally coherent for all $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. The module $\mathcal{O}_X(j) \otimes e_k\mathcal{A}$ is noetherian by [5, Lemma 2.17] and the lemma holds with $\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{(j,k) \in I} \mathcal{O}_X(j) \otimes e_k\mathcal{A}$ by Theorem 2.5.

To prove the result for arbitrary noetherian \mathcal{M} , we use descending induction on i . For $i > 2$, $R^i\tau\mathcal{M} = 0$ by Theorem 2.5, so the result is trivial in this case. Since \mathcal{M} is noetherian, there is a finite subset $I \subset \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{(j,k) \in I} \mathcal{O}_X(j) \otimes e_k\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow 0$$

by [5, Lemma 2.17]. This induces an exact sequence of \mathcal{A} -modules

$$\dots \rightarrow (R^i\tau(\bigoplus_{(j,k) \in I} \mathcal{O}_X(j) \otimes e_k\mathcal{A}))_l \rightarrow (R^i\tau\mathcal{M})_l \rightarrow (R^{i+1}\tau\mathcal{R})_l \rightarrow \dots$$

The left module is coherent by the first part of the proof, while the right module is coherent by the induction hypothesis. Hence the middle module is coherent since X is noetherian. \square

Corollary 3.3. *If \mathcal{M} is a noetherian object in $\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}$, $R^i(\omega(-)_k)(\pi\mathcal{M})$ is coherent for all $i \geq 0$ and all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Since $(-)_k : \text{Gr}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Mod}X$ is an exact functor, $R^i(\omega(-)_k)(\pi\mathcal{M}) \cong R^i\omega(\pi\mathcal{M})_k$.

Now, to prove $\omega(\pi\mathcal{M})_k$ is coherent, we note that there is an exact sequence in $\text{Mod}X$

$$0 \rightarrow \tau\mathcal{M}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_k \rightarrow \omega(\pi\mathcal{M})_k \rightarrow (R^1\tau\mathcal{M})_k \rightarrow 0$$

by [5, Theorem 4.11]. Since \mathcal{M}_k and $(R^1\tau\mathcal{M})_k$ are coherent by Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 respectively, $\omega(\pi\mathcal{M})_k$ is coherent since X is noetherian.

The fact that $R^i\omega(\pi\mathcal{M})_k$ is coherent for $i > 0$ follows from Lemma 3.2 since, in this case,

$$(2) \quad (R^i\omega(\pi\mathcal{M}))_k \cong (R^{i+1}\tau\mathcal{M})_k$$

by [5, Theorem 4.11]. \square

Lemma 3.4. *For \mathcal{N} noetherian in $\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}$, $R^1\omega(\pi\mathcal{N})_k = 0$ for $k \gg 0$.*

Proof. When $\mathcal{N} = \bigoplus_{(l,m) \in I} (\mathcal{O}_X(l) \otimes e_m\mathcal{A})$, the result follows from (2) and Theorem 2.5.

More generally, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \pi\left(\bigoplus_{(l,m) \in I} \mathcal{O}_X(l) \otimes e_m\mathcal{A}\right) \rightarrow \pi\mathcal{N} \rightarrow 0$$

which induces an exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow R^1\omega\left(\pi\left(\bigoplus_{(l,m) \in I} \mathcal{O}_X(l) \otimes e_m\mathcal{A}\right)\right) \rightarrow R^1\omega(\pi\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow R^2\omega(\mathcal{R}) = 0.$$

where the right equality is due to (2) and Theorem 2.5. Since the left module is 0 in high degree, so is $R^1\omega(\pi\mathcal{N})$. \square

Theorem 3.5. *For any noetherian object \mathcal{N} in $\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}$,*

- (1) $\text{Ext}_{\text{Proj}\mathcal{A}}^i\left(\bigoplus_{(j,k) \in I} \pi(\mathcal{O}_X(j) \otimes e_k\mathcal{A}), \pi\mathcal{N}\right)$ is finite-dimensional over K for all $i \geq 0$, and
- (2) for $i > 0$, $\text{Ext}_{\text{Proj}\mathcal{A}}^i\left(\bigoplus_{(j,k) \in I} \pi(\mathcal{O}_X(j) \otimes e_k\mathcal{A}), \pi\mathcal{N}\right) = 0$ whenever $j \ll 0$ and $k \gg 0$.

Proof. Let d denote the cohomological dimension of X . Since $\text{Ext}_{\text{Proj}\mathcal{A}}^i(-, \pi\mathcal{N})$ commutes with finite direct sums, it suffices to prove the theorem when I has only one element.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\text{Proj}\mathcal{A}}(\pi(\mathcal{O}_X(j) \otimes e_k\mathcal{A}), \pi\mathcal{N}) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{O}_X(j) \otimes e_k\mathcal{A}, \omega\pi\mathcal{N}) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X(j), \mathcal{H}om_{\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}}(e_k\mathcal{A}, \omega\pi\mathcal{N})) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X(j), \omega(\pi\mathcal{N})_k) \\ &\cong \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X(-j) \otimes \omega(-)_k)(\pi\mathcal{N}) \end{aligned}$$

where the second isomorphism is from Theorem 2.4 (3), while the third isomorphism is from Theorem 2.4 (2). Thus,

$$\text{Ext}_{\text{Proj}\mathcal{A}}^i(\pi(\mathcal{O}_X(j) \otimes e_k\mathcal{A}), \pi\mathcal{N}) \cong R^i(\Gamma \circ (\mathcal{O}_X(-j) \otimes \omega(-)_k))\pi\mathcal{N}.$$

If $i = 0$, (1) follows from Corollary 3.3 and [1, III, Theorem 5.2a, p. 228].

If $0 < i < d + 1$, the Grothendieck spectral sequence gives us an exact sequence

$$(3) \quad \dots \rightarrow R^i\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X(-j) \otimes \omega(\pi\mathcal{N})_k) \rightarrow R^i(\Gamma \circ \mathcal{O}_X(-j) \otimes \omega(-)_k)\pi\mathcal{N} \rightarrow$$

$$R^{i-1} \Gamma R^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-j) \otimes \omega(-)_k) \pi \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \dots$$

Since $\omega(\pi \mathcal{N})_k$ and $R^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-j) \otimes \omega(-)_k) \pi \mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{O}_X(-j) \otimes R^1(\omega(-)_k) \pi \mathcal{N}$ are coherent by Corollary 3.3, the first and last terms of (3) are finite-dimensional by [1, III, Theorem 5.2a, p.228]. Thus, the middle term of (3) is finite-dimensional as well, which proves (1) in this case. To prove (2) in this case, we note that, since $\omega(\pi \mathcal{N})_k$ is coherent, the first module of (3) is 0 for $j \ll 0$ by [1, III, Theorem 5.2b, p.228]. If $i > 1$, the last module of (3) is 0 for $j \ll 0$ for the same reason. Finally, if $i = 1$, the last module of (3) is 0 since $R^1 \omega(\pi \mathcal{N})_k = 0$ for $k \gg 0$ by Lemma 3.4.

If $i = d + 1$, the Grothendieck spectral sequence gives an isomorphism

$$R^{d+1}(\Gamma \circ (\mathcal{O}_X(-j) \otimes \omega(-)_k) \pi \mathcal{N} \cong R^d \Gamma R^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-j) \otimes \omega(-)_k) \pi \mathcal{N}.$$

In this case, (1) again follows from Corollary 3.3 and [1, III, Theorem 5.2a, p.228], while (2) follows from Lemma 3.4. \square

Corollary 3.6. *If \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are noetherian objects in $\text{Gr}\mathcal{A}$, $\text{Ext}_{\text{Proj}\mathcal{A}}^i(\pi \mathcal{M}, \pi \mathcal{N})$ is finite-dimensional for $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{M} is noetherian, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \pi \left(\bigoplus_{(j,k) \in I} \mathcal{O}_X(j) \otimes e_k \mathcal{A} \right) \rightarrow \pi \mathcal{M} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the central term is noetherian by Lemma 3.1, so is the \mathcal{R} . Since $\text{Hom}_{\text{Proj}\mathcal{A}}(-, \pi \mathcal{N})$ is left exact, there are exact sequences

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Proj}\mathcal{A}}(\pi \mathcal{M}, \pi \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Proj}\mathcal{A}}\left(\pi \left(\bigoplus_{(j,k) \in I} \mathcal{O}_X(j) \otimes e_k \mathcal{A} \right), \pi \mathcal{N}\right) \rightarrow$$

and, for $i \geq 1$,

$$(5) \quad \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{Proj}\mathcal{A}}^{i-1}(\mathcal{R}, \pi \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{Proj}\mathcal{A}}^i(\pi \mathcal{M}, \pi \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{Proj}\mathcal{A}}^i\left(\pi \left(\bigoplus_{(j,k) \in I} \mathcal{O}_X(j) \otimes e_k \mathcal{A} \right), \pi \mathcal{N}\right) \rightarrow$$

Since π commutes with direct sums, the right-hand terms of (4) and (5) are finite-dimensional by Theorem 3.5(1), while the left hand term of (5) is finite-dimensional by the induction hypothesis. \square

4. RIEMANN-ROCH AND ADJUNCTION

Let X be a smooth projective curve, let \mathcal{A} be the noncommutative symmetric algebra generated by a locally free \mathcal{O}_X -bimodule \mathcal{E} of rank 2, and let $Y = \text{Proj}\mathcal{A}$. In this section, we state the Riemann-Roch theorem and adjunction formula for Y . In order to state these results, we need to define an intersection multiplicity on Y . This definition depends on the fact that Y has well behaved cohomology, so we begin this section by reviewing relevant facts regarding the cohomology of Y .

Let $\mathcal{O}_Y = \pi \text{pr}_{2*} e_0 \mathcal{A}$. By [5, Theorem 5.20], Y satisfies Serre duality, i.e., there exists an object ω_Y in $\text{Proj}\mathcal{A}$, called the canonical sheaf on Y , such that

$$(6) \quad \text{Ext}_Y^{2-i}(\mathcal{O}_Y, -)' \cong \text{Ext}_Y^i(-, \omega_Y)$$

for all $0 \leq i \leq 2$.

By [5, Theorem 4.16], Y has cohomological dimension two, i.e.

$$(7) \quad 2 = \sup\{i \mid \text{Ext}_Y^i(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{M}) \neq 0 \text{ for some noetherian object } \mathcal{M} \text{ in } \text{Proj}\mathcal{A}\}.$$

We write $D : Y \rightarrow Y$ for an autoequivalence, $-D : Y \rightarrow Y$ for the inverse of D , and $\mathcal{M}(D) := D(\mathcal{M})$ for $\mathcal{M} \in Y$.

Definition 4.1. [2, Definition 2.3] A **weak divisor** on Y is an element $\mathcal{O}_D \in K_0(Y)$ of the form $\mathcal{O}_D = [\mathcal{O}_Y] - [\mathcal{O}_Y(-D)]$ for some autoequivalence D of Y .

We now define an intersection multiplicity on Y following [2]. Let \mathcal{M} be a noetherian object in $\text{Proj}\mathcal{A}$, and let $[\mathcal{M}]$ denote its class in $K_0(Y)$. We define a map $\xi(-, \omega_Y) : K_0(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\xi([\mathcal{M}], \omega_Y) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i \dim_K \text{Ext}_Y^i(\mathcal{M}, \omega_Y).$$

This map is well defined by (6), (7) and Corollary 3.6. If \mathcal{O}_D is a weak divisor on Y , we define a map $\xi(\mathcal{O}_D, -) : K_0(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\xi(\mathcal{O}_D, [\mathcal{M}]) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i (\dim_K \text{Ext}_Y^i(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{M}) - \dim_K \text{Ext}_Y^i(\mathcal{O}_Y(-D), \mathcal{M})).$$

This map is well defined by (7) and Corollary 3.6. We define the intersection multiplicity of \mathcal{M} and ω_Y by

$$\mathcal{M} \cdot \omega_Y := (-1)^{\text{codim } \mathcal{M}} \xi([\mathcal{M}], \omega_Y)$$

for some suitably defined integer $\text{codim } \mathcal{M}$. Thus, if \mathcal{M} is a ‘‘curve’’ on Y , then $\mathcal{M} \cdot \omega_Y = -\xi([\mathcal{M}], \omega_Y)$. Similarly, we define the intersection multiplicity of \mathcal{O}_D and \mathcal{M} by

$$\mathcal{O}_D \cdot \mathcal{M} := -\xi(\mathcal{O}_D, [\mathcal{M}]).$$

We have yet to establish that ω_Y is noetherian. However, if it is noetherian, notice that $\mathcal{O}_D \cdot \omega_Y$ is defined unambiguously.

Finally, we define a map $\chi(-) : K_0(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\chi([\mathcal{M}]) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i \dim_K \text{Ext}_Y^i(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{M}).$$

Corollary 4.2. *Let $Y = \text{Proj}\mathcal{A}$, let ω_Y denote the canonical sheaf on Y , and suppose \mathcal{O}_D is a weak divisor on Y . Then we have the following formulas:*

(1) *(Riemann-Roch)*

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_Y(D)) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{O}_D \cdot \mathcal{O}_D - \mathcal{O}_D \cdot \omega_Y + \mathcal{O}_D \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y) + 1 + p_a$$

where $p_a := \chi([\mathcal{O}_Y]) - 1$ is the arithmetic genus of Y .

(2) *(Adjunction)*

$$2g - 2 = \mathcal{O}_D \cdot \mathcal{O}_D + \mathcal{O}_D \cdot \omega_Y - \mathcal{O}_D \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y$$

where $g := 1 - \chi(\mathcal{O}_D)$ is the genus of \mathcal{O}_D .

Proof. The quasi-scheme Y is Ext-finite by Corollary 3.6, has cohomological dimension 2 by [5, Theorem 4.16], and satisfies Serre duality with ω_Y by [5, Theorem 5.20]. Thus, Y is classical Cohen-Macaulay, and the result follows [2, Theorem 3.11]. \square

In stating the Corollary, we defined the intersection multiplicity only for specific elements of $K_0(Y) \times K_0(Y)$. In order to define an intersection multiplicity on the entire set $K_0(Y) \times K_0(Y)$, one must first prove that Y has finite homological dimension. In [3, Section 6], Mori and Smith study noncommutative \mathbb{P}^1 -bundles $Y = \text{Proj}\mathcal{A}$ such that \mathcal{A} is generated by a bimodule \mathcal{E} with the property that $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E}$

contains a nondegenerate invertible bimodule. In this case, they use the structure of $K_0(Y)$ to prove that Y has finite homological dimension. They then compute various intersections on Y without the use of either the Riemann-Roch theorem or the adjunction formula. In particular, they prove that distinct fibers on Y do not meet, and that a fiber and a section on Y meet exactly once.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [2] I. Mori, Riemann-Roch like theorems for triangulated categories, preprint.
- [3] I. Mori and S. P. Smith, The grothendieck group of a quantum projective space bundle, preprint.
- [4] A. Nyman, The geometry of points on quantum projectivizations, *J. Algebra*, **246** (2001) 761-792.
- [5] A. Nyman, Serre duality for non-commutative \mathbb{P}^1 -bundles, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [6] M. van den Bergh, A translation principle for the four-dimensional Sklyanin algebras, *J. Algebra*, **184** (1996) 435-490.
- [7] M. Van den Bergh, Blowing up of non-commutative smooth surfaces, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **154** (2001).
- [8] M. Van den Bergh, Non-commutative \mathbb{P}^1 -bundles over commutative schemes, to appear.
- [9] M. Van den Bergh, Non-commutative quadrics, in preparation.

Adam Nyman, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Mathematics Building,
University of Montana, Missoula, MT 59812-0864